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AlGEBRA OF THE CURRENTS AND DISPERSION RELATIONS

Recently great success has been achieved in studying the form-factor properties by the methods based on the algebra of charges and dispersion relations, I/ on the one hand, and the algebra of the current density $/ 2 /$, on the other. In this paper we shall show the equivalence of both methods, in particular with respect to the so-called "summation rule". In fact we shall consider a more general case of the algebra generated by the current density Fourier components which in the limit of zero momentum reduces to the usual algebra of charges.

Following $/ 1 /$ we shall postulate for current-like operators $A, B$, and C equal time commutators of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[A \lambda_{\lambda}(x), B(y)\right]\right|_{x_{0}=y_{0}}=\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) C_{\lambda}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by using invariance under translations and expansion in a complete set of the state vectors we are led to the following equations for the matrix elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\langle q| C C_{\lambda}(0)|\mathrm{p}\rangle=\sum_{n}(2 \pi)^{8} \delta(\vec{p}+\vec{k}-\vec{q})\langle q| A_{\lambda}(0)|n\rangle\langle n| B(0)|p\rangle- \\
&-\sum_{n}(2 \pi)^{s} \delta\left(\vec{p}_{n}-\vec{k}-\vec{p}\right)\langle q| B(0)|n\rangle\langle n| A_{\lambda}(0)|p\rangle . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let us show, that the dispersion approach gives the same result (2). For this purpose vie define the operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C(\vec{k})=\int A_{0}\left(\vec{x}_{2} 0\right) e^{-1 \vec{k} \vec{x}} d^{3} x \\
& Q^{*}(k)=\int \theta\left(x_{0}\right) \partial_{\lambda}\left(A_{\lambda}(x) e^{1 x x}\right) d^{4} x \\
& Q^{A}(k)=\int \theta\left(-x_{0}\right) \partial_{\lambda}\left(A_{\lambda}(x) e^{i x k}\right) d^{4} x
\end{aligned}
$$

One can see that

$$
Q^{r}(k)=-Q(\vec{k}) \quad \text { if } \quad \ln k_{0}>0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
O^{n}(x)=Q(\vec{x}) \quad \text { if } \operatorname{lm} x_{0}<0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eqs, (1) and (3) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle q| C_{0}(0)|p\rangle= \pm \int \theta(\mp x) \partial_{\lambda}\left(\langle q | \left[A_{\lambda}(\mathrm{x}), B(0)|p\rangle e^{\text {1kx })} d^{4} \mathrm{x}\right.\right.  \tag{4}\\
\text { if } \pm \ln x_{0}<0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Note, that the right-hand side of this equation does not depend on $k$ and in this sense it is a trivial analytical function. But we can obtain nontrivial analytical properties, if define two auxiliary functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{x, 4}(\ell, k)=\mp \int \theta\left( \pm x_{0}\right) e^{\ell l x} a_{\lambda}\left(\left\langle q \| A_{\lambda}(x), B(0)\right] \mid p>e^{i x x}\right) d^{\prime} x . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $l \rightarrow 0$ this equality goes over into Eq.(4). It is convenient to rewrite the expression (5) for $T^{\text {r,t }}$ in the form

$$
T^{1,0}(\ell, k)=T_{1}^{a, 4}(\ell+k)+i k_{\lambda} T_{2 \lambda}^{\text {2,4 }}(\ell+k),
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{2 \lambda}^{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~A}}=(\ell+\mathrm{k})=\mp \int \theta\left( \pm \mathrm{x}_{0}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{I}(\ell+k) \mathrm{x}}<\mathrm{q}\left|\left(\mathrm{~A} A_{\lambda}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{B}(0)\right]\right| \mathrm{p}>\mathrm{d}^{4} \mathrm{x} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The local commutativity guarantees the relativistic invariance of the functions (6) and allows to perform their analytical continuation into the complex plane of argument $\nu=\frac{q}{m}(\ell+k)$, where $m$ is the mass of the particle, whose momentum is $q$. This leads to a dispersion relation for the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} T(\nu)=\frac{P}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{I}_{m} T\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}{\nu^{\prime}-\nu} d \nu^{*} \cdots . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The translation invariance and completeness of the state-vector set lead to the following expression

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{T}_{2 \lambda}=\frac{1}{2 i} \mathrm{\sum}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(\ell+k+q-p_{\mathrm{D}}\right)\langle q| A_{\lambda}(0)|\mathrm{q}\rangle\langle n \mid B(0) p\rangle-  \tag{8}\\
-\frac{1}{2 i} \sum_{n}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(f+k-p+p_{0}\right)\langle q| B(0)|n\rangle\langle n| A_{\lambda}(0)|p\rangle
\end{gather*}
$$

and
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{In} T_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(\ell+k+q-p_{n}\right)\langle q|\left(q-p_{n}\right)_{\lambda} \cdot A \lambda(0)|n\rangle\langle\Delta| B(0)|p\rangle- \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(\ell+k-p+p_{n}\right)\langle q| B(0)|n\rangle\langle 0| p_{n}-q\right)_{\lambda}^{A} \lambda^{(0)|p\rangle} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the substitution of (8) into (7) gives in the limit $\ell \rightarrow 0$ the result (2).
So, the algebra of charge together with the local commutativity yields the same results as the algebra of current density.

We are very indebted to Prof. N,N. Bogolubov for many interesting and stimulating discussions.
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