

Molnar F., Horvath A., Khalkin V.A., Volkov V.A.

ANION EXCHANGE ADSORPTION OF GADOLINIUM AND EUROPIUM FROM AQUEOUS-METHANOL SOLUTIONS CONTAINING NEUTRAL NITRATES

Paguoxueene, 1966, 78, 62, c. 183-189.

E-1921

2892/3 20-

Molnar F., Horvath A., Khalkin V.A., Volkov V.A.

ANION EXCHANGE ADSORPTION OF GADOLINIUM AND EUROPIUM FROM AQUEOUS-METHANOL SOLUTIONS CONTAINING NEUTRAL NITRATES

Submitted to Radiochemistry

Сб. тденскими выст. ут ямерных беспенсь ний БИБЛИОТЕНА Rare earths are known to be adsorbed only to a slight extent on strongly basic anion exchangers of the quarternary amine type from pure nitric acid solutions $1-3^{\prime}$. Their adsorbability increases with decreasing atomic number, but is insufficient to serve as a basis for their anion exchange separation even in the case of the lightest rare earths. Rare earths have shown, however, an increased absorbability from lithium nitrate solutions, and using these solutions as an eluant, light rare earths could easily be separated from each other by anion exchange chromatographic 4^{\prime} .

Anion exchange adsorption of the rare earths from nitrate solutions can be promoted to a greater extent by replacing a part of the aqueous phase with suitable solvent such as methanol^{5,6}, Agueous-methanol solutions containing nitric acid have been used for purification of some rare earths $\frac{7}{7}$ as well as for performing other chromatographic separations $\frac{6,8}{}$. Nevertheless, little attention has been paid to the employment of neutral nitrates. To our mind, solutions of these nitrates in certain cases are more convenient to work with than nitric acid ones. Therefore, we made an attempt to study the adsorption of rare earths from aqueous-methanol solutions of neutral nitrates. Our aim was to obtain data necessary for working out a method of separation of light rare earths in application to nuclear spectroscopy from gadolinium targets irradiated with 680 MeV protons, Isotopes with halflives of 1.8 10^3 - 1.8 10^4 sec (0.5 - 5 hours) are of the greatest interest among the products of the nuclear reaction. For a more rapid chromatographic separation of the nuclear reaction products and the material irradiated, we have to deal with systems in which the distribution coefficients are small. These systems were the goals of our investigations.

EXPERIMENT

All chemicals were reagent grade and were used without preliminary purification.

Radioisotopes of rare earths were produced from a tantalum target irradiated with 680 MeV protons at the Dubna synchrocyclotron and were purified by eluting them from a cation exchanger column with α -hydroxy-isobutirate of appropriate concentration. All radio-isotopes were used in a carrier-free form, unless otherwise stated.

In the course of this study the strongly basic anion exchanger Amberlite IRA 400 (nitrate form) was used.

All eluants were acidified with nitric acid to pH2 . The distribution coef-

3

ficients were determined in dynamic conditions by the conventional elution technique using a 0.04 cm² x 7 cm resin bed. The radioactive isotopes of the rare earths were introduced into the column in a drop (0.025 - 0.035 ml) of the proper eluant. The column was previously heated up to the desired temperature and washed with the eluant. The effluent was collected drop by drop on paper pleces of 3 cm x3 cm large. The radioactivity of these pieces was measured with an end-window GM counter. Then the elution curve was constructed in the usual manner. Distribution coefficients were calculated with the aid of the following relationship:

$$C = \frac{n_m - n_i}{n_i}$$

where

nm - the drop number corresponding to the peak maximum,

a, . the free volume of the column, expressed in drops.

As the drop volume depends upon the composition of the eluant, n_i was determined for each of the eluants.

The experimental values of the distribution coefficients were treated by the least squares method, and slopes and intercepts as well as separation factors were calculated. The number of theoretical plates was determined from the half-width of the elution peaks on the basis of the Glueckauf's elution theory $\frac{9}{9}$.

Separation of carrier-free gadelinium and europium was carried out on a column with a $0.04 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ x} 10 \text{ cm}$ resin bed, the flow rate being 0.4 ml/ cm^2 min. The mixture of rare earths dissolved in a drop of the eluant was added to the column which had been heated to the desired temperature and treated with the eluant. The effluent was collected and measured as mentioned above.

Separation of carrier-free europium (samarlum, promethium) from macro amount of gadolinium, 60 mg of Gd_2O_3 was dissolved in 0.3 ml of 5 N nitric acid containing radioactive gadolinium isotope. This solution was then mixed with 0.7 ml of 4 N lithium nitrate in methanol. The resulting mixture was poured onto a column of 0.3 cm² x 22.5 cm heated previously to 50°C and washed with the eluant. The solution flow rate in the sorption step was 1 ml/cm² min, Gadolinium was eluted at a flow rate of 2 ml/cm²min. The effluent was collected in fractions of 2.4ml with a fraction collector of LKB type. Aliquots from these fractions were evaporated on aluminium disks under an infra-red lamp and were counted with a belltype GM counter.

LKB - Produkter AB

P.O.Box 12220, Stockholm, Sweden

In order to investigate the behaviour of carrier-free europium (samarium, promethium) being together with macro amount of gadolinium the above runs were repeated by using radioactive europium (samarium, promethium) instead of the gadolinium tracer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As the extent of the ion exchange adsorption is greatly affected by the solvation of ions, it was expected that for solutions of identical composition but containing various cations, the values of the distributions coefficients should be also different. For this reason we have first studied the effect of cations. The results are summarized in Table 1. For the monovalent cations, as may be expected, distribution coefficient of gadolinium is the largest in the presence of the strongly hydrated cation of lithium. Among divalent cations calcium is notable. In its pre-

Table 1

Distribution Coefficients of Gadolinium in 65% Methanol-1N Nitrate Solutions at 20°C,

Cation	CGI	Cation	C _{Gd}
. H ⁺	2.44	Be	3,17
Li ⁺	4.16	Ca	0.89
NH4	1.70	Mg	3.25-
Na ⁺	1.49		

Fig. 1 shows the effect of methanol concentration in solutions containing 1N and 2,2 N ammonium nitrate, respectively. For eluants containing 1N ammonium nitrate, the logarithm of the distribution coefficient is a linear function of the methanol concentration^{X/}. Separation factors relating to systems containing 1 N ammonium nitrate are enlisted in Table IL.

Figs. 2-4 show the variation of the distribution coefficients of gadolinium and europium with various concentrations of lithium, ammonium and calcium nitrates, respectively. It is worth noting that with eluants containing ammonium nitrate and

This linearity, however, cannot be observed with eluants containing 2.2 N ammonium nitrate.

calcium nitrate, the function of log distribution coefficients vs log nitrate concentration gives a straight line, but with eluants containing lithium nitrate the distribution coefficients are increased more rapidly with nitrate concentration.

Table II

Separation Factors in Several Neutral Nitrate-Methanol Mixtures at 20°C (calculated by the Least-Squares Method)

IN NH4 NO3 - CII3 OH

%	CH 3OH	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85
a	Eu - Gd	1,08	1.14	1.21	1,29	1.37	1.45	1,54	1,64

65% CH₃OH - Li NO₃

N	Li NO3	0.5	0.75	1.0	1,25	1,5	1.75
a	Eu - Gd	1.31	1.34	1.37	1,42	1.49 ^x	1,55 ^x

55% CH3OH - NH NO3

N NH4NO3 0.5 2,5 3.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 3.0 4.0 a Eu-Gd 1.22 1,41 1.44 1.46 1.47 1,47 1.48 1.48

65% CH 3 OII - Ca' NO 3/2

<u>N Ca/N03/2</u> 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 α Eu- Gd 1.14 1.30 1.42 1.51 1.58 1.63 1.68 1.72 55% CH₃OH - LiNO₃

<u>N LiN03 0.5 0.75 1.0 1.25 1.5 1.75 2.0 2.5</u> *a* Eu - Gd 1.13 1.20 1.26 1.31 1.37 1.42 1.45 1.54^x

55% CH 3 OH - NH 4 NO 3

N	NH4 NO3	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.Q
a	Eu-Gd	1.41	1,38	1.37	1,35	1.35	1,34
	X Expe	rimontal	values				

In all the systems studied the separation factors are increased with nitrate concentration in the eluent except the systems of 55% CH₃OH-NH₄NO₃ where a definite decreasing trend appears (Table II). The lack of sufficient experimental data does not allow to give an unambiguous explanation of the variation of distribution coefficients and therefore of separation factors in various systems.

The effect of temperature of the distribution coefficients of gadolinium and europium is shown in Fig, 5, and the corresponding separation factors are presented in Table III,

Table III

Variation of Separation Factors with Temperature (Calculated by the Method of Least Squares)

65% CH 3 OH - 2.5N Ca (NO 3)2

t(°C)	20	30	40	50	60	
a Eu-Gd	1,57	1,57	1.57	1,57	1,57	

65% CH3 OH - 2. 5 N NH4 NO3

1	t(°C)	20	30	40	50	60	
	a Eu - Gd	1.51	1,50	1.49	1,48	1.47	
	55% CH	он -	1.75 N Li	NO3			

t(°C)	20	30	40	50	60	
a EU - Gd	1,43	1.44	1.44	1.45	1.45	

These data show that with solution of 65% $CH_3OH - 2.5N Ca(NO_3)_2$ the distribution coefficients increase slightly with increasing temperature whereas with solution of 65% $CH_3OH - 2.5N NH_4 NO_3$ they decrease. On the basis of this opposing tendency it is reasonable to suppose that the variation of distribution coefficients with respect to cations present in the eluant depends mostly upon the solvation of these cations. The separation factor in the case of the former system is independent of temperature, while in the latter it gets a decreasing trend.

With eluant of 55% $CH_3 OH - 1.75 N$ Li NO_3 distribution coefficients decrease significantly with increasing temperature, the separation factor being constant. To be more strict, there is a slight increase, but it is so small that may be considered as an experimental error. The distribution coefficient of europium in the case of 55% CH_3 OH - 2.5 N LINO₃ changes in parallel manner as for the eluant of 55% CH_3 OH - 1.75 N LINO₃.

The variation of the number of theoretical plates (separation steps) with temperature is shown in Fig. 6. Although determinations cannot be carried out with appropriate accuracy because of the small number of experimental data, being at hand, the general trend appears to be clear from Fig.6.

Data on the distribution coefficients and separation factors show that it is possible to separate europium from gadolinium on an anion exchange column using aqueous methanol solutions of neutral nitrates as eluants. Separation factors given in our paper are smaller than those observed with solutions containing higher methanol concentration 31. In our case this drawback is compensated by the fact that with small concentrations of alcohol the rate of the ion exchange is larger and the distribution coefficient is smaller. Therefore, separations can be carried out within a shorter time, which may be an important factor in the treatment of radioactive substances. Furthermore, by employing neutral nitrates, it is possible to work at elevated temperatures too, which has an importance in respect of separation purity. As an example it may be mentioned that with the eluant of 55% CH3 OH - 2.5N NH4 NO3 the separation of carrier-free gadolinium and europium is nearly complete at 60° (Fig. 7a), while at 20°, the ordinate of the minimum between the two elution peaks is more than 50% of the highest ordinate of the gadolinium peak, (Peak-to -valley ratio is smaller than 2). With separation presented in Fig. 7b peak-to-valley ratio is approximately 6 at 20°C.

Separability of carrier-free europium from macro amount of gadolinium depends also on the quantity of gadolinium present. Fig.8 shows elution curves where the loading to the column was 200 mg $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3/\text{cm}^2$. With this loading under the given conditions, only about 40% of europium can be obtained which does not practically contain ponderable amounts of gadolinium. This is comparatively small, but a similar efficiency (taking into account separation time and eluant volume) cannot be achieved by other chromatographic methods. (If time is not a limiting factor, separation can be still improved using higher methanol and nitrate concentration and approaching more to the equilibrium conditions). Samarium and rare earths lighter than samarium can entirely be separated from gadolinium,

As for separation shown in Fig. 8, the elution of gadolinium takes place winin about 45 minutes. Carrier-free rare earths remaining on the resin bed can be eluted with dilute nitric acid within some minutes. It is interesting to note that gadolinium and europium are eluted with a relatively high separation factor. The reason for this is that the distribution coefficient of macro amount of gadolinium is smaller than that of tracer, but the presence of mg amounts of gadolinium does not affect the adsorption of carrier-free europium very much.

8

CONCLUSIONS

The anion exchange behaviour of gadolinium and europlum was investigated in aqueous methanol solutions containing neutral nitrates, Eluants containing methanol nitrates were found to be suitable for the anion exchange separation of light rare earths. A method for the separation of light rare earths from gadolinium targets irradiated with 680 MeV protons is described.

References

Danon, J., J.Inorg. Nucl Chem., 5, 237 (1958).
 F.Ichikowa, S.Uruno, and H.Imai. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 34, 952 (1961).
 J.P.Faris and R.F.Buchanan. Anal. Chem., 36, 1157 (1964).
 Y.Marcus and F.Nelson. J.Phys. Chem., 63, 77 (1959).
 J.Korkisch and F.Tera, Anal. Chem., 33 1265 (1961).
 J.P.Faris and J.W.Warton. Anal. Chem., 34, 1077 (1962).
 R.A.Edge. Anal. Chim. Acta, 29, 321 (1963).
 J.S.Fritz, H.Waki, and B.B.Garralda. Anal. Chem., 36, 900 (1964).
 E.Glueckauf. Trans. Faraday Soc., 51, 34 (1955).

Received by Publishing Department on December 22, 1964.



Fig. 1. Variation of the distribution coefficients with methanol content,

• - gadolinium • - europium • 1.0N NH₄ NO₃ ----- 2.2N NH₄ NO₃ .



Fig.2. Variation of the distribution coefficients with concentration of lithium nitrat

0 - gadolinium • - europium 55% CH₃ OH ---- 65% CH₃³ OH .



- Variation of the distribution coefficients with concentration of Fig.3. ammonium nitrate.
 - gadolinium 0 • - europium • 55% CH₃ OH ---- 65% CH₃ OH.



Fig.4. Variation of the distribution coefficients with concentration of calcium nitrate in 65% methanol solutions.

o - gadolinium

- europium .









• - gadolinium
• - europium
-
$$65\%$$
 CH₃OH - 2.5N Ca (NO₃)
- - - - 65% CH₃ OH - 2.5N NH₄ NO₃





Fig.7.