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## ABSTRACT


#### Abstract

It has been shown how to determine reliably the minimum number of partial waves involved in the interaction if the total elastic cross section and the differential cross section at a given angle are known.


## Sec. I. INTRODUCTION

A great number of partial waves are participating in the interaction between two particles at high energies and it is practically impossible to make a complete phase shift analysis. Therefore, it is important to clear up what undoubted information may be obtained from the available experimental data. In particular, it is of interest to determine the least number of the partial waves
$L_{\min }$, necessary for the discription of the experimental data (when $\mathcal{L}_{\min }$ is large enough, it corresponds to the minimum interaction radius).

It has been shown in the paper by Rarita and Schwed $/ 1 /$ how to determine $\quad L_{\text {min }}$ for elastic scattering if the total interaction cross sections are known.

In this paper the inequalities have been proved for the minimum number of partial waves in the two-particle reactions if the total elastic cross section and the differential cross section are known at one or two angles. It has been shown that the account of spins of the interacting particles changes the results unessentially compared with the spinless case if $\mathcal{L}_{\text {min }}$ is great. There-
fore, the inequality (A) may be used practically always. fore, the inequality (A) may be used practically always.

The inequality (A) is much stronger than that of Rarita - Schwed/l/ if the scattering amplytude has a real part or is spin dependent. It may be also used for describing inelastic reactions of the type $a+b \rightarrow c+d$.

## Sec. II. INTERACTION BETWEEN SPINLESS PARTICLES

Consider first the case when the particles have no spin. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma\left(\theta_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{4 k^{l}}\left(\left.\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(q l+1) A_{l} P_{l}\left(\cos \phi_{1}\right)\right|^{2} .\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \sigma_{l} l=\int \sigma(g) d \Omega=\frac{\pi}{k^{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(a l+1)\left|A_{l}\right|^{l} .
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that in these Equations it is possible to restrict oneself to the finite number of partial waves $L$. Then, making use of Cauchy inequality or defining the conditional minimum $\sigma_{e l}$, provided $\sigma\left(\vartheta_{1}\right)$ is given, one may prove (see Appendix) that:
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{0} \geqslant \frac{4 \pi \sigma\left(Q_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{l}}, \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lefthand side of ( A ) there is a monotonously increasing function $\mathcal{L}$, The inequality will be fulfilled only if $\mathcal{L}$ are larger than $\mathcal{L}_{\text {min }}$. It is this number $\mathcal{L}_{\text {min }}$ which is the least one of the partial waves necessary for a simultaneous description of the given $\sigma_{l l}$ and $\sigma\left(Q_{1}\right)$.

For $\quad \theta=0$ the inequality (A) for elastic scattering is stronger than that obtained in/!/ Indeed, in this case (A) is written as

$$
(L+1)^{2} \geqslant \frac{4 \pi \sigma(0)}{\sigma_{d} l}=\frac{\kappa^{2} \sigma_{t}^{2}}{4 \pi \sigma_{e l}}+\frac{h \pi}{\sigma_{l}}[\operatorname{Ref}(0)]^{2},
$$

while Rarita - Schwed inequality is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda+1)^{e} \geqslant \frac{k^{2} \cdot \sigma_{6}}{4 \pi \sigma^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter is likely to yield smaller $\mathcal{L}_{\text {prion }}{ }^{\text {than }}$ the inequality (A) if the real part of the scattering amplitude is not zero.

When $\sigma_{E l}, \sigma\left(Q_{1}\right.$ and $\sigma\left(Q_{2}\right)$ are given, it is possible to obtain in a similar manner a stronger inequality than (A). Its form is rather complicated

$$
\left.\rho_{i k}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{0}^{L}(2 l+1) P_{l}\left(\cos \theta_{l}\right) P_{l}\left(\cos \theta_{k}\right)=\frac{L+1}{\cos \theta_{i}-\cos \theta_{k}}\left[P_{l+1}\left(\cos \theta_{i}\right) P_{L}\left(\cos \theta_{k}\right)-P_{L}\left(\cos \theta_{i}\right) P_{Z+1}\left(\cos \theta_{k}\right)\right] \text { ( } 5\right)
$$

One may also derive the inequalities which make use of a greater number of experimenta points. Of course, they will become even more complicated, but will yield a more precise estimate for $L_{\text {min }}$ since any additional information may only increase $L_{m i n}$. Inequality (4) may be reversed, and it is possible to determine the largest value for the differential cross section at a certain angle if the total elastic cross section and the differential cross section at another angle $\mathscr{O}_{1}$ are known. So,

The upper limit for $\sigma\left(Q_{2}\right)$ thus found depends upon the number of partial waves $L$ which are taken into account in sums (5). If $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{\text {min }},(6)$ passes into an equality. With
increasing $L \quad$ the righthand side of the inequality under consideration becomes larger. For practical estimates, one may obtain definite indications concerning the upper limit by confining oneself to the reasonable number of $\mathcal{L}$.

Sec. III. INTERACTION BETWEEN SPIN PARTICLES

In this Section we will be concerned with the inequalities employed for determining the minimum number of partial waves $-L_{\min }$ if $\sigma_{e l}$ and $\sigma\left(\mathscr{l}_{1}\right)$ are known for particles with spins.
a) It has been proved in the Appendix that for particles with spin $1 / 2$ and 0 , the inequality reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \left(\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}\right) \geqslant \frac{4 \pi \sigma\left(l_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{l}}  \tag{7}\\
& \Sigma_{1}=\sum_{l=-1}^{L}(2 l+1)\left(\frac{l-1)!}{[l+1)!}\left[p_{l}^{(1)}\left(\cos \beta_{1}\right)\right]^{2} .\right. \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where
b) Analogously for nonidentical particles with spins $1 / 2$, the inequality may be easily derived
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{l}=\sum_{l=2}^{L}(Q l+1)\left[\frac{(l-q)!}{[\ell+2)!}\left[p_{l}^{(2)}\left(\cos \Omega_{l}\right)\right] .\right. \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{map}\left(\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{4 \pi \sigma\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{l l}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) The account of the identity of the Dirac particles leads to a more complicated inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \left\{\sum_{0}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{c}^{\prime \prime}, \sum_{1}^{\prime}, \sum_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \sum_{2}^{\prime}, \sum_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \geqslant \frac{4 \pi \sigma(\alpha)}{\sigma_{\alpha}}, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{m}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{e=m}^{L}\left[1-(-1)^{\ell}\right]\left[( Q + 1 ) \left[\frac{1(-m)!}{[(t m)!}\left[P_{\ell}^{(m)}\left(\cos x_{1}\right]^{2}\right]^{(12)}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where

To determine $L_{\text {min }}$, the largest of the sums should be substituted into the lefthand side of anequalities (7), (9), and (11). It is worth while noting that at small angles, $\Sigma_{0}$ is the lar-
gest of the sums as $\Sigma_{1}$, and $\sum_{2}$ contains the associated Legendre polynomials. Further, since at high energies ${ }^{1} L_{\text {min }}$ is sufficiently great, then $\Sigma_{m}^{\prime} \approx \Sigma_{m}^{\prime \prime} \approx \Sigma_{m}$. Therefore, for high energies, the inequality ( A ) may be used practically for all cases.

It should be emphasized that if we restrict ourselves by the minimum number of partial waves determined from the above inequalities, then the spin-flip parts of the corresponding scattering amplitudes are equal to zero. If they are different from zero, then $\mathcal{L}>\boldsymbol{L}$ min .

If the polarization is taken into account, it is possible to obtain stronger conditions for determining $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{min}}$. In this case there is a possibility of estimating the contribution of the spin dependent parts of the scattering amplitude to the cross section.

## Sec. IV. APPLICATIONS TO P-P SCATTERING AT 8.5 BEV

So, we came to the conclusion that in order to determine the minimum number of partial wares involved in two -particle reactions, at high energies the inequality (A) should be always used.

If the scattering amplitude has a real part or is spin-dependent, the inequality (A) is stronger than that of Rarita-Schwed $/ 1 /$.

Let us consider, for example, the proton-proton scattering at $\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{P}}=8.5 \mathrm{BeV}$. In this case, according to the data of Tzyganov et al ${ }^{1 / 2 /}$

$$
\sigma_{e l}=(8,6 \pm 0,8) \mathrm{mb} ., \sigma\left(2,5^{\circ}-5,5^{\circ}\right)=(123 \pm 18) \frac{m b}{8+2 a^{2} d}
$$

From inequality ( A ) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\text {min }}=16 \pm 3 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

( The errors in $\mathcal{L}_{\text {min }}$ are due to experimental ones). Rarita- Schwed inequality leads to a weaker estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\text {min }}=8 \pm 1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\quad \sigma_{\text {tot }}=(30 \pm 3) \mathrm{mb}$.
The optical model describing these experimental data $/ 2 /$ predicted the effective number of partial waves to be $16 \pm 1$, and the corresponding interaction radius $R$ equal to

$$
(1,6 \pm 0,1) \cdot 10^{-13} \mathrm{~cm} \text {. }
$$

It follows from our results that any other model will lead to the same or greater interaction radius.

It should be noted in conclusion that all the results obtained are applicable not only to ellstic scattering but also to inelastic two-particle collisions, egg. $\pi^{-}+P \rightarrow \Sigma^{+}+K^{+}$ etc. In this case $\sigma_{e l}$ ought to be substituted for the total cross section for the given reaction.

The authors are grateful to L.G. Zastavenko for fruitful discussions.

APPENDIX

Below is given a proof of inequality (7) for the collisions of particles with spins 0 and $1 / 2$.

It is convenient to write the expressions for $6\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and 6 el as
where

$$
a_{l}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 l+1}}\left\{(l+1)\left(e^{2 i \delta_{x}}-1\right)+l\left(e^{2 i \delta}=-1\right)\right\}
$$

$$
b_{l}=\sqrt{\frac{e(l+1)}{2 l+1}}\left\{e^{2 i \delta_{+}}-e^{2 i \delta}\right\}
$$

$$
\left.X_{l}=\sqrt{2 l+1} P_{l}\left(\cos \theta_{1}\right), y_{l}=\sqrt{\frac{l l+1}{l(+1)}}\right)_{l}^{(1)}\left(\cos Q_{1}\right) \text {. }
$$

Now we make use of the well-known Cauchy inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Sigma A_{i} B_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant\left(\Sigma\left|A_{i}\right|^{2}\right) \cdot\left(\Sigma\left|B_{i}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\sigma\left(\theta_{1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4 k^{\alpha}}\left\{\Sigma x_{l}^{2} \cdot \Sigma\left|a_{l}\right|^{2}+\sum y_{l}^{2} \sum\left|b_{l}\right|^{2}\right\} \leq \frac{\sigma_{l}}{4 \pi} \operatorname{Max}\left\{\Sigma x_{l}^{2}, \Sigma y_{l}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Thus, inequality (7) is proved.
The inequalities (A), (9), and (11) are being derived just in a similar manner. A method described here is much simpler, especially for particles with spin, than that consisting in determining the conditional extremum $\sigma_{R l}$ for the given $\sigma\left(\Theta_{1}\right)$.
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